The Crystal Structure of Rubidium Hexafluoroprotactinate(V), RbPaF₆*

BY JOHN H. BURNS, HENRI A. LEVY, AND O. LEWIN KELLER, JR

Transuranium Research Laboratory, Chemistry Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S.A.

(Received 6 February 1968)

The crystal structure of RbPaF₆ has been determined from three-dimensional Ag $K\alpha$ X-ray data by Patterson and Fourier methods. Crystals are orthorhombic with a=8.0483 Å, b=12.025 Å, c=5.8608 Å, space group *Cmma*. After least-squares refinement of positions and anisotropic thermal parameters, the *R* index based on F was 0.048. Each Pa atom is bonded to eight F atoms in a dodecahedron having $D_{2d}-\overline{42m}$ symmetry. Dodecahedra share edges to form chains along the *a* axis; in this feature, the structure resembles that of K₂ZrF₆. The Pa-F bond lengths are 2.34 Å and 2.09 Å for F atoms in the shared and unshared edges, respectively. The Rb atoms are between chains and have ten F neighbors at distances from 2.81 Å to 3.17 Å. The structure is contrasted with similar compounds of Nb and Ta.

Introduction

A method for determining ionic species in solution, based on a comparison of the frequencies and intensities of Raman lines characteristic of known complex ions in crystals, with those found in aqueous solutions was applied by Keller (1963) to identify NbOF²₅ and NbF⁻₆ ions in HF solutions. Keller & Chetham-Strode (1966*a*) studied TaF⁻₆ and TaF²₇ ions in solution by the same method. In order to use this method to study fluoro complexes of protactinium (Keller & Chetham-Strode, 1966*b*) it was necessary to determine crystal structures of appropriate compounds.

It has been assumed that PaF_6^- ions exist in the crystal by Asprey & Penneman (1964) and by Bukhsh, Flegenheimer, Hall, Maddock & de Miranda (1966). The present study will show, for the case of RbPaF₆, as was previously shown for K₂PaF₇ (Brown & Smith, 1966; Brown, Kettle & Smith, 1967), that these crystals do not contain PaF_6^- or PaF_7^{2-} ions but that the Pa atoms are linked by F atoms into infinite chains in which the coordination number for Pa is 8 and 9, respectively. Since discrete PaF_6^- and PaF_7^{2-} ions do not exist in known crystals, the application of the spectroscopic method mentioned above will be more difficult for Pa than it was for Nb and Ta.

Experimental

The protactinium (²³¹Pa) used in the preparation of RbPaF₆ was part of a ~100 g batch obtained by workers at the Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Harwell, England and reported to contain 4% Nb (Walter, 1963). This impurity was removed by an ion exchange method described by Chetham-Strode & Keller (1966); a mass spectrographic analysis of the crystals used for the X-ray study showed them to con-

tain less than 0.05% Nb. Synthesis and elemental analysis of RbPaF₆ were described by Keller & Chetham-Strode (1966b). The crystals formed were colorless needles having almost equidimensional cross section. It was more than a year after preparation of the crystals before the X-ray studies were begun, but no loss of crystallinity from α -radioactivity was detected other than possibly that represented by the large mosaic spread.

In order to minimize errors due to the large absorption coefficient of Pa, the sample was shaped by use of a race-track sphere grinder enclosed in a gloved box equipped for handling radioactive materials. A sphere, 0.0213 ± 0.0005 cm in diameter, was sealed in a thin walled glass capillary by a technique designed to limit contamination of the glass to the inside. Selection and mounting of the crystal were done in the box with micromanipulators observed through an external microscope with a long focal length. The capillary and crystal were removed from the box and transferred to tne X-ray devices for study.

Precession camera photographs of RbPaF₆ provided initial unit-cell dimensions and the diffraction symmetry. For more refined unit-cell values and the intensity data, the computer-controlled X-ray diffractometer of Busing, Ellison, Levy, King & Roseberry (1967) was used. Data from twelve reflections with 2θ values in the range 125–155° with Cu K α radiation (1.54051 Å) were used in a least-squares refinement of the unit-cell dimensions. The orthorhombic unit cell has a=8.0483(0.0003), b=12.0253 (0.0005), and c=5.8608 (0.0002) Å at 24 ± 1 °C. The values in parentheses are the leastsquares standard deviations and do not include any effect of absorption or instrument alignment on diffraction angles.

Systematic absences were of the type hkl for h+k = 2n+1 and hk0 for h=2n+1, which are characteristic of space groups *Cmma* and *Cm2a*. In addition, hkl reflections with h=2n+1 (k=2n+1) were relatively weak and diminished rapidly with increasing Bragg angle;

^{*} Research sponsored by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under contract with the Union Carbide Corporation.

this intensity pattern results from the arrangement of Pa atoms in special positions.

The large mosaic spread of the crystal made ω -scanning the most reliable technique for collection of intensities. In this mode no β -filter was used. The receiving slit width was adjusted so as to accept the entire peak at the Bragg angle and to allow measurement of the background by ω -scans at higher and lower 2θ values without including either the α or β lines. The proper slit width was calculated by taking into account the beam divergence, the doublet dispersion, and the crystal size. The data were collected for four ranges of Bragg angle with the following experimental conditions:

2θ-range	Take-off angle	Beam divergence	Slit width
<10°	0.5°	0·13 mm	0·8 mm
10-15	0.5	0.13	1.2
15-20	2.5	0.65	3.0
> 20	2.5	0.65	4.0

Silver $K\alpha$ radiation was chosen because its absorption by $RbPaF_6$ is smaller than that of other useful radiations; Mo $K\alpha$ X-rays (0.71 Å) were deemed unsuitable because the L absorption edge of Pa is at 0.74 Å. All independent reflections were measured to a limit of $\sin \theta / \lambda = 0.76$. At higher angles very few intensities were found greater than the estimated standard deviations of the measurements; these were not included in the refinement. For reflections with h and k odd the limit was sin $\theta/\lambda = 0.5$. Some 273 reflections were remeasured using Cu Ka X-rays for the purpose of comparison.

Two problems arose from the use of Ag X-rays excited at 50 kV. The first was the observation of escape peaks from iodine in the scintillation counter produced by X-rays at the maximum of the continuous spectrum; the peaks appeared at the 2θ values of systematic absences, viz. (110) and (390). Once the source of these maxima was recognized, they were eliminated by reduction of the exciting voltage. The second problem was the effect of the Rb K absorption edge on the presence of an harmonic contribution from a strong reflection at lower Bragg angles whose indices are in the ratio of $\frac{2}{3}$ to the reflection being measured. (The ratio of wave lengths for Ag $K\alpha$ to Rb K edge is 0.685.) Although in ω -scanning harmonics are usually properly accounted for in the background measurement, in this case the background on the lower 2θ side of the peak is abnormally low. Nineteen reflections showed this effect, and were omitted from the refinement. Five other reflections at small Bragg angles were omitted because of difficulty in evaluating background levels.

Structure determination and refinement

Each observed intensity was converted to a relative structure factor, $|F_o|$, by application of a Lorentzpolarization factor and a normalization factor based on the value of a frequently measured reference reflection. An absorption correction for a spherical crystal with $\mu R = 3.61$, obtained by linear interpolation between values from International Tables (1959), was applied. The mass absorption coefficient of Pa for Ag K α radiation was taken as 106 cm² g⁻¹ from interpolation between values for Th and U (Roof, 1959). The data taken with Cu Ka X-rays were similarly corrected for absorption, but the factors were very approximate because of the high value of μR , (~14).

A Patterson map made with the Ag data was interpreted to yield positions for Rb at 4(g) and Pa at 4(a)of space group Cmma. An electron density map with phases based on these heavy atoms revealed the F atoms in positions 8 (m) and 16 (o). The variable positional parameters and anisotropic temperature factors for each independent atom were adjusted by the method of least squares. The final values obtained and their standard errors are listed in Table 1. The atomic scattering factors used in structure-factor calculations were those of the neutral atoms given by Cromer & Waber (1965). For Rb and Pa atoms corrections for the real and imaginary components of anomalous dispersion (Cromer, 1965) were applied. A modification of the computer program by Busing, Martin & Levy (1962) was used for least-squares computations. The function minimized was

$\Sigma w(s^2 F_c^2 - F_c^2)^2$,

where F_o and F_c are observed and calculated structure

	Tuoto II I obtitonal and thormal parameters for Itorat 6					
		Site	$x(10^4\sigma)$	$y(10^4\sigma)$	$z(10^4\sigma)$	
	Rb	4 g	0	1	0.4532 (6)	
	Pa	4 a	1	1	0	
	F(1)	8 m	Ó	0.4294 (16)	0.1459 (25)	
	F(2)	16 <i>o</i>	0.3059 (17)	0·3796 (11)́	0.2476 (24)	
	$\beta_{11}(10^4\sigma)$	$\beta_{22}(10^4\sigma)$	$\beta_{33}(10^4\sigma)$	$\beta_{12}(10^4\sigma)$	$\beta_{13}(10^4\sigma)$	$\beta_{23}(10^4\sigma)$
Rb	0.0069 (4)	0.0030 (2)	0.0171 (15)	0	0	0
Pa	0.0018(2)	0.0021 (1)	0.0110 (3)	0	0	0
F(1)	0.0041 (20)	0.0067 (14)	0.0123 (43)	0	0	0.0056 (21)
F(2)	0.0068 (16)	0.0064 (11)	0.0303 (45)	0.0018 (12)	-0.0035(24)	0.0042 (20)

Table 1. Positional and thermal parameters* for RbPaFe

* The thermal parameters, β_{ij} , are coefficients in the temperature factor:

 $\exp -(\beta_{11}h^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{33}l^2 + 2\beta_{12}hk + 2\beta_{13}hl + 2\beta_{23}kl).$

factors, s is a scale factor, and $w = 1/\sigma^2$. The variance was calculated from

$$\sigma^2(F_o^2) = [T + k^2 B + \{0.05(T - kB)\}^2] \cdot [c/A(Lp)]^2 .$$

In this equation c/A(Lp) is a factor which converts counts to squared structure factors (Lp=Lorentzpolarization, A=transmission factor), T=total counts, and kB=normalized background counts. The term 0.05(T-kB) is included to allow for unknown systematic errors. After refinement to convergence, the index $R = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_o|$ was 0.048 for 351 reflections observed to be greater than 1 σ . The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight,

$$\sigma_1 = \{ \Sigma w [F_o^2 - s^2 F_c^2]^2 / (m-n) \}^{1/2},$$

was 1.29. In this expression w is the weight of an ob-



Fig. 1. Arrangement of F atoms around (a) the Pa atom (b) the Rb atom. Thermal motions are represented by 50% probability ellipsoids (Johnson, 1965).

servation and m-n is the number of degrees of freedom, 324. A list of calculated and observed structure factors and standard deviations is presented in Table 2.

Results and discussion

In RbPaF₆ each Pa atom is bonded to eight F atoms which surround it in a dodecahedral arrangement having point symmetry D_{2d} - $\overline{4}2m$, within experimental error, although the crystallographic symmetry of the Pa site is only D_2 -22. Two edges of each dodecahedron, on opposite sides of the Pa atom, are shared with adjacent dodecahedra, thereby forming chains along the crystallographic a axis. In each PaF₈ polyhedron there are four F atoms which are in shared edges and four which are not; the Pa-F bond lengths are 2.34 Å and 2.09 Å, respectively. The longer bonds for the bridging F atoms are expected because of repulsion between the Pa atoms. Details of the PaF8 arrangement are given in Fig. l(a) and in Table 3, which contains interatomic distances of interest. Fluorine atoms of successive PaF₈ groups along the chains are related by the operations of the *a*-glide plane at z=0, in which the Pa atom lies. Fig.2 shows a layer of the structure in and near such a plane.

Each Rb atom is surrounded by an approximately cubic array of eight PaF_8 polyhedra belonging to four chains. This is shown in Fig. 3, which is a stereoscopic drawing of part of the structure. Between layers of

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors and standard errors

The column headings have the following meaning: L is the Miller index l, OBS and CAL are the observed and calculated structure factors on an absolute scale, S is the calculated sign, and ER is the standard error of the observation. Reflections having an X after the running index were omitted from the least-squares refinement for reasons given in the text.

L CES CAL S FR	L CAS CAL S FO	L CPS CAL S FP	L OBS CAL S ER	L OPS CAL S EP	L MOS CAL S ER	L CBS CAL S ER	L CPS CAL 5 FP	L CPS CAL S ER	L OPS CAL S EP
9 ° L	6 85 L	1 * L	, t r	31 L	4 ? L	4 14 L	4 4 L	7 3 L	P 10 L
1 231 238 + 6	7 127 115 +	2 14 21 • 14	0 359 357 - 9	1 117 105 - 4	8 56 97 4 7	3 107 112 + 7	C 176 148 - A	2 44 44 - 13	4 57 PA • P
1 146 * 4	4 110 125	4 AS 77 4 R	2 274 290 - 7	3 36 36 - 6	4 4 ι	4 70 71 • C	1 273 269 - 7	751	P 17 L
- 139 167 + 6	+ 102 110 + 7	4 7(18 - 20	4 165 171 - 6	4 69 67 4 9	C 220 212 + 8	4 1A L	3 1 CP 199 - A 4 175 141 - A	2 35 14 4 18	0 165 167 * 6
ex111 124 + 7 7 133 132 + 6	7 44 4C • 11 P 76 75 • 10	17 L	5 126 178 - 6		1 158 151 • 4	0 174 125 + 4	4 138 133 - A		1 27 28 . 8
e 107 78 • 7	1 57 43 + 14	1 6 5 + 6	7 111 112 - 6		7 162 155 • 4	102 107 • 7	7 71 78 - 9		1 76 79 . 0
1 7 L		1 41 48 4 9		2 49 87 - 1	5 147 146 • 6	5 I L	e ^ L	1 148 144 4 4	10 0 L
12267 242 + 7	· 17 L	47 45 - 17	7 ª L	3x196 P1 + 6 4 60 47 - 10	7 94 104 4 7	1 70 8 4 10	Px298 274 - P	7 735 231 • 7 3 129 121 • 6	0 73 90 - 9
2 157 165 • •	1 91 105 . 4	191	0 193 187 - 6	5 44 49 + 12	P 64 41 + 10	2 2 30 • 21	1 136 141 - 4	4 147 127 4 6	1 171 175 - •
1 254 277 4 7	7 7 4 1 5 6 6	1 0 5 - 0	7 97 104 - 6	3 5 L	4 M L	4 50 41 + 11	3x157 120 - A	A 105 107 + 7	3 167 167 - 4
5 154 170 + 6	4 123 114 6	74 39 - 25	4 131 176 - 6	1 0 19 - 0	0 124 120 + 6	5 3 L	4 150 150 - A	4 7 L	4 101 107 - 1
1 1 1 1 1 4 9 • A	* ** ** *	1 11 1	5 1 3P 134 - 5	2 17 27 • 17 3 85 77 - 7	1 277 271 • 7	1 41 47 . 13	6X C5 97 - 9 7 50 94 - 9	0 143 140 * *	10 7 1
* 111 110 + 7	C 14 L	1 45 16 4 11	7 103 P2 - 7	4 67 57 • 10	3 231 232 • 7	56 47 - 10		1 736 737 • 7	0 167 176 - 6
^ 4 L	r #F PA + 9	2 10 10 - 1e	7 10 L	3 7 L	5 140 143 • 6	• •; ••• •;	r e L	3 177 171	2 165 169 - 6
(197 767 + 8	7 64 50 + 11	γ n ι	0 258 252 - 7	1 51 57 + 11	6 105 112 • 6 7 55 72 • 9	5 4 L	0 128 119 - 6	4 111 117 • 6	3 109 117 - 7
1 161 167 4 4	1 11 121 + 7 4 SC 76 + 9	CX24C 217 - 6	1 113 116 - 6	2 27 39 - 71	4 8 1	1 56 63 - 10	2 122 124 - 4	6 111 107 • 7	10 4 1
1 169 179 . 5	e or en e g	1 8415 393 - 11	3 121 118 - 5	4 44 41 - 13			4 109 102 - 6	94 L	
• 147 157 • 4	C 14 L	3 121 125 - 8	5 105 111 - 7	39 L	1 170 117 • •	. , .	A 114 104 - 7	0 249 243 • 7	7 121 130 - 6 1 177 173 - 6
A 13P 135 + 6 7 119 115 + 6	n 15r 141 + 7	4 730 729 - 7	6 79 92 - P 7 84 PP - B	1 24 19 - 23	7 777 276 • 7 7 147 143 • 6	3 45 81 • 15	A 10 L	1 136 125 • 6	7 56 59 - 7 3 173 140 - 6
P 65 61 + 10	1 64 73 6 17	e 17e 173 - A	2 12 1	2 32 41 4 18 3x114 26 - 7	4 170 170 + A	4 9 L	C 184 187 - A	3 114 124 • 6	4 106 110 - 7
7 A L	3 70 44 17	A 111 67 - 7			4 9' AR 4 7	? 46 47 • 13	1 106 104 - 7	5 110 115 • 7	10 4 L
02121 103 + 4		27 L	1 191 198 - 6	, ii t	/ 4/ 80 • 8	. 16 19 - 17	1 °é 101 - 7	5 /5 86 • V	0 170 174 - 6
2 147 175 + 5	C 14 L	CX4C1 396 - 10	7 118 111 - A 3 156 157 - 6	1 44 8 • 14	4 10 L	6 N L	4 122 120 - 6	8 K L	1 104 110 - 7 2 139 146 - 6
3×31# 267 • •	Cx 6* 61 + 17	1 170 150 - 5	4 107 99 - 7	40 L	C 110 106 + 6	9×122 54 - 7	6 12 1	0 109 113 • 7	1 91 58 - 8 4 114 108 - 7
5 158 160 + 6	111	1 20C 201 - 5	6 ST 89 - 7	0 421 423 + 11	2 174 119 4 6	7 175 179 - E		7 120 115 • 6	
7 93 75 4 8	1 44 30 - 2	4 237 277 - 7 5 149 156 - 6	7)4 L	2 307 291 • 8	4 111 109 + 5	4 141 146 - 6	1 164 155 - 6	4 118 123 • 6	10 8 1
P AN 43 + P	7 49 43 6 4	6 122 117 - 6 7 173 114 - 6	0 156 151 - 6	3 149 144 • 6	5 100 104 + 7	5 144 140 - 6 6x128 119 - 6	7 P7 99 - 9 3x145 177 - 6	5 107 109 + 7	0 79 64 - 9 1 154 149 - 6
n a l	4 85 69 6 7	P &C 67 - 11	1 90 82 - 9	5 154 144 + 4	4 12 L	7 70 77 - 11	4 95 94 - 7	8 A L	7 106 103 - 7
C 217 321 +	6 57 46 4 11	74 L	3 90 89 - 7	7 117 123 . 6		ε, ι	4 14 L	0 176 159 • 6	10 10
2 227 229 . 7	131	0 115 108 - 4	5 PO RT - P		1 107 101 • 6	9 246 267 - 7	0 127 133	2 183 180 + 4	
* 15* 15* • • • • 21\$ 30\$ • 7	1 1/ 23 + 5	1 350 374 - 10 2 22P 222 - 6	2 16 L	4 7 1	2 170 167 * 6	2 761 261 - 7	2 121 119 - 5	4 124 119 • •	0 121 131 - 7
5 116 120 + 4	1 11 10 10 - 1	* 24C 263 - 7 4 137 130 - 6	0 73 72 - 9	0 202 189 • 5	4 111 111 + 6 5 85 87 + 8	7 152 158 - A 4 194 187 - A	3 86 87 - *	5 97 97 . 8	12 0 6
7 45 91 • 7	4 41 57 - 9 5 74 77 • •	* 151 154 - 1 A 141 142 - 1	1 137 126 - 6	2 148 160 . 5	4 14 L	6 141 173 - 9	7 1 L	* 10 L	01147 150 + 4
r 10 i		7 100 91 - 7	3 112 104 - 7	4 156 146 + 6	0 74 98 4 9	7 191 44 - 7	2 29 23 . 20	9 97 89 8 7	1 110 109 + 9
0 120 125 + .				6 130 137 . 6	1 114 150 . 6			2 111 101 • 7	12 ° L
1 744 717 4 7	1 65 77 - 4			· • • • • 7				1 124 128 + 6	0 104 108 + 7

Table 3. Interatomic distances less than 4 Å

	Number at this distance	Distance (Å)	σ (Å)
Rb-F(1)	2	2.812	0.017
Rb-F(2)	4	2.828	0.013
Rb-F(2)	4	3.167	0.014
Pa - F(2)	4	2.086	0.014
Pa - F(1)	4	2.344	0.008
$F(1) - \dot{F}(1)$ *	2	2.40	0.03
F(1) - F(2)	2	2.60	0.02
F(1) - F(2)	2	2.82	0.02
F(1) - F(2)	2 ·	2.84	0.03
F(2)-F(2)	2	3.00	0.03
F(2)-F(2)	2	3.04	0.03
F(2)-F(2)	2	3.10	0.03
F(2)-F(2)	2	3.11	0.03
F(2) - F(2)	2	3.16	0.03

* The F-F distances are those of the PaF₈ polyhedron.

chains there is an *a*-glide plane at $z=\frac{1}{2}$; the Rb atoms are near, but not in, this plane. Thus successive Rb atoms along the *a* axis are displaced alternately toward layers on opposite sides of the plane. On the side toward which the Rb atom is displaced, it has six near F atom neighbors, four at 3.16 Å and two at 2.81 Å. On the opposite side, it has four at 2.83 Å. These contacts are shown in Figs. 1(*b*) and 2 and are listed in Table 3.

From an overall view, the structure of RbPaF₆ is quite similar to that of K_2ZrF_6 (Bode & Teufer, 1956) which has chains of ZrF_8 dodecahedra with shared edges. In the latter structure, however, there is a different accommodation for the alkali ions, since there are twice as many, and the point symmetry of the dodecahedra is C_{2v} -mm.



Fig. 2. A layer of the $RbPaF_6$ structure parallel to (001). Heavy lines indicate Pa-F bonds and light lines connect Rb atoms and F atoms. The complete ten-coordination of each Rb atom is not shown, but successive Rb atoms along a are shown with the complementary six and four linkages.

The thermal motions of the atoms all appear reasonable in view of the atomic masses, the geometry and the bonding. As may be seen in Fig. 2, two F(1) atoms in a shared edge of the dodecahedron are each bonded to two Pa atoms, forming a rhombus. Motion of the F atoms within the plane of the rhombus is restricted by F-F contacts or by Pa-F bond stretching, but motion out of this plane results mainly in bending of bonds. In agreement with this description, the observed thermal ellipsoid is prolate and has its long axis perpendicular to the plane mentioned above. Atom F(2) is unshared, and its thermal motion is described by an oblate ellipsoid with its short axis (to a rough approximation) along the Pa-F(2) bond direction. Ellipsoids for the heavy atoms are much smaller: Rb is nearly isotropic, while Pa shows a smaller amplitude along the chain direction than perpendicular to it.

The results of previous studies related to the structure of RbPaF₆ can now be clarified. Asprey, Kruse, Rosenzweig & Penneman (1966) synthesized a number of ABF_6 compounds in which A was NH_4^+ , K⁺, Rb⁺, or Cs⁺ and B was U⁵⁺ or Pa⁵⁺, and found by X-ray powder diffraction that all except CsUF₆ (Rosenzweig & Cromer, 1967) were isostructural with $RbPaF_6$. Using the unit cell and space group for RbPaF₆ from the present study, they indexed the patterns of these substances and obtained unit-cell dimensions. Since the list of compounds includes KPaF₆, the earlier report of its unit cell and space group by Brown & Easey (1966) is seen to be incorrect. These latter workers had based their indexing on an erroneous interpretation by Charpin (1965) of the powder pattern of isostructural KUF₆.

It is interesting to summarize the currently known structures involving Pa^v halides and to compare them with the corresponding Nb^v and Ta^v compounds. In contrast to RbPaF₆, both RbNbF₆ and RbTaF₆ (Cox, 1956) have a CsCl-like arrangement of

 Rb^+ and MF_6^- ions, the KOsF₆ structure. The only other complex fluoride of Pa which has been reported in detail is K₂PaF₇ (Brown, Kettle & Smith, 1967). In it the Pa atoms are nine-coordinated by a trigonal prism plus an equatorial triangle of F atoms. Two edges are shared in each polyhedron to form chains with the K atoms between them. In K_2NbF_7 and K_2TaF_7 the heavy metal has the well-known seven coordination of a trigonal prism with one face capped (Hoard, 1939; Brown & Walker, 1966). Recently PaCls was found to crystallize with infinite chains of PaCl₇ pentagonal bipyramids sharing apices (Dodge, Smith, Johnson & Elson, 1967), while NbCl₅ and TaCl₅ form octahedrally coordinated dimeric units (Zalkin & Sands, 1958). The structure of PaF_5 has not been reported, but NbF₅ and TaF₅ have tetrameric units with the metal atoms octahedrally coordinated (Edwards, 1964). According to Brown & Easey (1966) Na₃PaF₈ is isostructural with Na₃UF₈ which Rüdorff & Leutner (1960) showed to have uranium in cubic coordination. The corresponding compound, Na₃TaF₈ (Hoard, Martin, Smith & Whitney, 1954), has antiprismatic TaF_8^{2-} ions.

The larger radius of $Pa^{s+}(0.9 \text{ Å})$ compared with Nb^{s+} and Ta⁵⁺ (~0.7 Å) makes it able to have higher coordination numbers. An obvious way of achieving this, while maintaining electroneutrality, is the sharing of polyhedral edges in a chain; examples of this type are seen above. The six and seven coordination found for the chlorides above can be explained on the basis of radius ratio, but on this basis coordinations up to 9 for fluorides around Ta and Nb should be allowed, while usually only six or seven and, in one case, 8 are found. Protactinium, however, has *f*-orbitals available and these may contribute to the formation of a larger number of bonds.

Structural data currently available indicate that U^v shows a behavior similar to Pa^v , but that the *d*-



Fig. 3. A stereoscopic drawing of a portion of the RbPaF₆ structure, viewed nearly along the chain direction. Small, medium, and large circles represent Pa, F, and Rb atoms, respectively. Two dodecahedra of each chain are shown.

transition metal analogues, Nb^v and Ta^v, do not. Iyer & Smith (1967) have noted similarly that there is no structural resemblance between the rare-earth double oxides of Pa^v and the analogous compounds of Nb^v and Ta^v.

References

- ASPREY, L. B., KRUSE, F. H., ROSENZWEIG, A. & PENNE-MAN, R. A. (1966). *Inorg. Chem.* 5, 659.
- ASPREY, L. B. & PENNEMAN, R. A. (1964). Science, 145, 924.
- BODE, H. & TEUFER, G. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 929.
- BROWN, D. & SMITH, A. J. (1965). Chem. Comm. p. 554.
- BROWN, D. & EASEY, J. F. (1966). J. Chem. Soc. A, p. 254.
- BROWN, D., KETTLE, S. F. A. & SMITH, A. J. (1967). J. Chem. Soc. A, p. 1429.
- BROWN, G. M. & WALKER, L. A. (1966). Acta Cryst. 20, 220.
- BUKHSH, M. N., FLEGENHEIMER, J., HALL, F. M., MAD-DOCK, A. G. & DE MIRANDA, C. F. (1966). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 28, 421.
- BUSING, W. R., ELLISON, R. D., LEVY, H. A., KING, S. P. & ROSEBERRY, R. T. (1967). The Oak Ridge Computer-Controlled X-ray Diffractometer. ORNL-4143 Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O. & LEVY, H. A. (1962). A Fortran Crystallographic Least-Squares Program. ORNL-TM-305, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- CHARPIN, P. (1965). C. r. Acad. Sci. Paris 260, 1914.

- CHETHAM-STRODE, A. & KELLER, O. L. (1966). *Physico-Chimie du Protactinium*, p. 189. Paris: Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.
- Cox, B. (1956). J. Chem. Soc. p. 876.
- CROMER, D. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 17.
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 104. DODGE, R. P., SMITH, G. S., JOHNSON, Q. & ELSON, R. E. (1967). Acta Cryst. 22, 85.
- EDWARDS, A. J. (1964). J. Chem. Soc. p. 3714.
- HOARD, J. L., MARTIN, W. J., SMITH, M. E. & WHITNEY, J. F. (1954). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 76, 3820.
- HOARD, J. L. (1939). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 61, 1252.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1959). Vol. II, p. 302. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- IYER, P. N. & SMITH, A. J. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 740.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP, A Fortran Thermal-Ellipsoid Plot Program for Crystal-Structure Illustrations, ORNL-3794, revised. Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Keller, O. L. (1963). Inorg. Chem. 2, 783.
- Keller, O. L. & Chetham-Strode, A. (1966*a*). *Inorg. Chem.* 5, 367.
- KELLER, O. L. & CHETHAM-STRODE, A. (1966b). *Physico-Chimie du Protactinium*, p. 119. Paris: Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.
- ROOF, R. B. (1959). Phys. Rev. 113, 820.
- ROSENZWEIG, A. & CROMER, D. T. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 865.
- RÜDORFF, W. & LEUTNER, H. (1960). Liebigs Ann. 632, 1.
- WALTER, A. J. (1963). Atomic Energy Research Establishment Report No. AERE-M1165: Harwell, England.
- ZALKIN, A. & SANDS, D. E. (1958). Acta Cryst. 11, 615.

ActaCryst. (1968). B24, 1680

The Crystal Structure of 8,16-Oxido-cis-[2.2]metacyclophane

BY M. MATHEW* AND A. W. HANSON

Division of Pure Physics, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa. Canada

(Received 27 November 1967)

The crystal structure of 8,16-oxido-*cis*-[2.2]metacyclophane, $C_{16}H_{14}O$, is orthorhombic, space group probably *Cmc*2₁, with *a*=8.78, *b*=16.04, *c*=8.42 Å, *Z*=4. The structure was deduced from the threedimensional Patterson synthesis and refined by block-diagonal least squares to a final agreement residual of 0.057. The molecule has nearly *mm* symmetry, and is folded to a dihedral form so that the angle between the slightly boat-shaped six-membered rings is 99.6°. The bond angle subtended by the oxygen atom is 101.4°, while the mean value of those in the methylene bridges is 119.0°. The strain implied by these values is consistent with the tendency of the compound to transform into the corresponding pyrene derivative, with ejection of the hetero atom.

Introduction

Although several *trans*-[2.2]metacyclophanes have been reported (Lindsay, Stokes, Humber & Boekelheide, 1961), the title compound (I) is the first reported ex-

ample of a *cis*-[2.2]metacyclophane (Hess, Bailey & Boekelheide, 1967; Renfroe, Gurney & Hall, 1967). Steric strain is inferred for such compounds from their known tendency (on heating, or treatment with acid) to transform into the corresponding pyrene derivatives, with ejection of the hetero atoms (Boekelheide, 1967). The crystal structure analysis was undertaken in order to determine the geometrical characteristics of such strain. It was realized from the beginning that the ac-

^{*} National Research Council Postdoctorate Fellow. Present address: Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.